	***************************************	ROUTING			TOP SECRET
TO:	NAME A	AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS	(Security Classification)
1					(oboarry olacomounts)
2					
3_					
4					
····	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY		IRE REPLY	
	APPROVAL COMMENT	DISPATCH FILE	RECUM	UMENDATION 2N	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNA	*** **********************************	CONTROL NO.
Married Control of the Control of th	ARKS:				
				en constituent	
					COPY CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL CO
				and the state of t	
#00##00##0****************************	FROM: NAME.	ADDRESS, AND PHO	NE NO.	DATE	
TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE O					
			······································		

Handle Via

TALENT-KEYHOLE

Channels

Access to this docume se approved for the fol	ent will be restricted to lowing specific activit	ies:
	STATE OF THE STATE	



TOP SECRET

(Security Classification)

Approved For Release 2004/05/13: CIA-RDP90T00782R000100030001-0

DISSEMINATION CONTROL ABBREVIATIONS

NOFORN-

Not Releasable to Foreign Nationals

NOCONTRACT-

Not Releasable to Contractors or

Contractor/Consultants

PROPIN-

Caution-Proprietary Information Involved

ORCON-

Dissemination and Extraction of Information

Controlled by Originator

REL . . .-

This Information has been Authorized for

Release to . . .

Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP90T00782R000100030001-0

CONTENTS

(Yellow Tabs)

TAB A -- Accomplishments of AQUATONE/CHALICE Program

TAB B -- Air Force Role

TAB C -- NASA Role

TAB D -- Intelligence Community Role

TAB E -- Dossier on Francis Powers

1. Biographical Data

2. Medical History

3. Psychological Assessment

4. Security Assessment

5. Training and Missions

TAB F -- Public Statements and Releases (See Book #1)

TAB G -- Chronology of U-2 Project up to 1 May

TAB H -- Operational Hypothesis of Events of Downed U2C Aircraft

TAB I -- 1. Chronology of Events 1 May through 20 May 2: Questions and Answers for NASA

TAB J -- Soviet Protests

TAB K -- High-Level Endorsements

TAB L -- 27 May Testimony (Nerter - Dillow)

TAB M -- Bloc Overflights of Bloc

TAB N -- Senate Foreign Relations Committee



Approved For Release 2004/05111 614-RDF \$159\$ 82R000100030001/0

TCS-7519-60 Copy ≤ of 9 27 May 1960

ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE U-2 PROGRAM

I. Introduction

Five years ago, before the beginning of the U-2 program, the United States had organized against the threat of surprise attack by the Soviet Union every available measure in the classical intelligence bag of tricks. These efforts had given us considerable knowledge concerning the capabilities and intentions of the Soviet Union. But much of this was hard to verify and difficult to interpret. There remained many uncertainties concerning the types of military systems under development, the rate at which they were increasing their power, and their ultimate aims for its use.

This half-knowledge of the Soviet Union and uncertainty of its true power position posed tremendous problems for the United States. We were faced with the constant risk of exposing ourselves to enemy attack or of needlessly expending a great deal of money and effort on misdirected military preparations of our own.

To meet this situation, we determined to embark on the U-2 program to give the United States a firm foundation of hard information on which to make our intelligence judgments. The program has covered a large part of the most important areas in the Soviet Union and has provided information on a great variety of subjects important to our evaluation of the Soviet power position. Our main emphasis, however, has been directed against four critical problems, namely, the Soviet bomber force, the Soviet atomic energy program, the Soviet missile program, and the Soviet Air Defense System.

II. Intelligence of Soviet Offensive Capabilities Against the U. S. and Its Allies

A. Manned Alreraft

The Soviet bomber force has been, and remains today, the main offensive striking force of the Soviet Union. For several years, the status of the Soviet bomber program was a controversial topic in U. S. intelligence.

The U-2 program has confirmed that only a minimum long-range bomber production program is continuing in the Soviet Union. It has shown that some Soviet aircraft plants have probably been converted to the production of transport aircraft and that a few may possibly be engaged in some aspect of the missile program. It has also shown, however, that the Soviet Union has recently developed a new medium-range bomber with supersonic capabilities.

During the life of the U-2 program, we have covered numerous Soviet long-range bumber air fields. From this coverage, we have been

able to confirm our estimate of the disposition of Soviet long-range bombers and have acquired data on the nuclear weapons storage facilities associated with them.

B. Ballistic Missiles

August 1957, Soviet propaganda has claimed that the world power balance was shifting in the direction of the Soviet Union. For several years, we have been aware that the Soviet Union was engaged in a high-priority ballistic missile development program. We have had and continue to have the ability to acquire data of actual Soviet ballistic missile flight tests. (You are all familiar with our radar installations in Turkey and the Alsutians.) The U-2 program, however, has enabled us to look periodically at the actual ground facilities involved in the Soviet missile test program.

One of the most significant items of information acquired by the U-2 was revealed by our coverage of the Tyura Tem rangehead in the fall of 1957, immediately after the first Soviet ICEM firings. The significant fact was that the Soviets had only one launch facility at Tyura Tem.

The photography showed this facility to be a massive concrete structure sufficiently large to permit the Launch of even larger vehicles than the relatively heavy Soviet ICBM and space vehicles.

Approved For Release 2004/05/133_CIA-RDP90T00782R000100030001-0

The photography also showed that the Soviets were conducting all of their missile and space firings from a single launcher during the 1957-1959 period, clearly indicating a different concept from that used by the United States in the pre-launch checkout, erection, and firing of a missile. In fact, when these data were made available to U. S. missile experts, some of whom assisted us in our evaluation of the photography, they immediately embarked on a careful review of our own concepts for the use of launch pads.

This photography also provided us valuable insight into possible Soviet operational doctrine regarding ICEM deployment. It showed a reliance on rail as the major means of logistic support and operations. The rail line actually ran onto the launch pad.

From these data and related information on Soviet transportation systems, we are led to believe that the Soviet operational deployment of ICHM's will be directly associated with their rail system. By this, I mean that the missile and its supporting equipment would be carried on trains and moved from one pre-selected site to another, thus making it difficult for us to determine the precise location of any given missile unit on a continuing basis.

Even though the Soviet Union was able to sustain a considerable testing program from this one launch pad, photography of Tyura Tam

Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP 00T00782R000100030001-0

during 1959 and 1960 has shown that a second and third launch complex were in varying stages of construction. The third launch complex is of a new type. It is too early to tell its intended purpose, but we have speculated that it may be used for a new type ICBM or that it may be the prototype of operational sites for troop training.

In summary, the photography collected by the U-2 has been a critical factor in our assessment that the Soviet ICHM program has not been and is not now a "crash" program; instead, it is an orderly, well-planned, high-priority program simed at achieving an early ICHM operational capability.

In addition to our coverage of the ICHM test range at Tyura Tam, we have also had excellent coverage of the Empustin Yar missile test range where Soviet intermediate and short-range missiles have been tested for the past 13 years. Over 600 ballistic missiles have been fired on this range in recent years.

Our 1957 coverage of Kapustin Yar provided us our first information on the number and type of launch pads being used in this program. It also showed that the Sovieta were dovetailing their development of operational equipment and troop training directly into their research and development program.

1959 coverage of the area showed that in two years the Soviets had doubled the number of launch pads and had available quarters for training about 6,000 troops in the operational use of these short- and intermediate-range ballistic missiles. It is apparent that the Soviet ballistic missile program is a dynamic and growing program.

In addition to our coverage of the two major ballistic missile test ranges, we have given top priority during the past year to the problem of detecting the construction of launching sites for operational ICHM's. The U-2 was by far our best system for collecting such information. We have covered a number of the most highly suspect areas in the Soviet Union without having found a single launch site for operational missiles. We were able to prove, however, that a number of sites reported to us by other sources did not actually exist.

We have had no opportunity to cover other large and highly suspect areas in the Soviet Union, and the mission of May 1 was routed to give us the maximum amount of coverage possible in these areas. In view of the Soviet boasts about the shifting power position and our estimate that the Soviet Union could have a small number of missiles on launchers for use against the United States, we felt that it was essential that we provide the President with additional assurance of the true state of the Soviet ICBM program before he was to meet Khrushchev in negotiations. This was particularly important in view of the fact that the negotiations were the direct outgrowth of the Berlin crisis generated by the Soviet Union.

Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIAIRO 00T00782R000100030001-0

C. Atomic Energy

The U-2 program has provided a large body of w	luable information
on the Soviet atomic energy program. This information l	mas covered the
production of fissionable materials, wespons developmen	t and test activi-
ties, and the location, type, and size of stockpile sit	es.
	25X1
	25X1

On two occasions, we have photographed one of the large Soviet nuclear testing grounds. This photography has given us general insight into their nuclear test practices and has permitted us to identify

Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIAPRID T00782R000100030001-0

TCS-7519-60

25X 1
25X1

D. Other Soviet Capabilities

The U-2 program has given us important information on other aspects of Soviet capabilities:

Photography has shown that the Soviets have a modest but active chemical warfare program. It has also indicated that they may have a program of biological warfare testing.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/05/13 CIA-RDP90T00782R000100030001-0

The program has provided us with a Targe amount of information on pround, neval, and air installations and order of testile. It has been particularly useful in confirming mayal order of battle in the surrament and Black See areas.

In general, the program has tended to confirm our estimates of the size, nature, and rate of growth of fewiet industry.

	The material has also been used for the correction of
ŧ	military maps and serementical charts.

III. Intelligence Affecting the U. S. Determent Striking Force

A. Obrget Lication, Definition, and Refinement

Prior to the imaguration of the U-2 program, much of U.S.

target information was based on meterials captured during and immediately

after World War II. Much of this information was becoming obsolete.

I	
ı	
ı	
200	
ı	
100	
ı	
ŧρ	

25X1

25X1

25X1

	į <u></u> , Appro	ved For Releas	2004705/13	- TIA-121458	1 00782R00010	0030001-0	
X1							
ч -							

B. Electronic Defenses and Redar Order of Buttle

On nearly all of the overflights of the Soviet Union the U-2 aircraft carried special electronic intercept and recording equipment. The purpose of this equipment was to determine the technical characteristics and locations of Soviet radars and other electronic devices associated with the Soviet air defense system.

25X1

The electronic intercepts recorded by the U-2 aircraft permitted to us to establish the basic concept, magnitude, operational efficiency,

TCS-7519-60

deployment, and rate of development of the Soviet air defense system over the past four years. This in turn has provided operational information for the planning of flight routes by U. S. air strike forces.

Frior to the U-2 program, we had no way to determine that the Soviet Union was in the process of constructing a defense in depth covering the entire area of the Soviet Union with early warning and ground control intercept radars and their related weapons. If we based our estimates on a peripheral defense concept we would have arrived at a figure of about 400 early warning radars and associated equipment. On the basis of the information collected by the U-2, however, we now estimate that the Soviet Union has about 1600 prime radars with associated equipment.

This program has also allowed us to observe dynamic aspects of the Soviet air defense system by watching it in operation against the U-2. During the past four years we have observed the Soviet abandonment of prime heavy radars as height measuring instruments and have seen the installation of special height-finding radars which give them a considerable improvement in their ground-controlled intercept capability.

We have seen the early deployment of new type radars in the interior of the Soviet Union before they began to be installed along Soviet borders. The U-2 has also permitted us to assess any difference between peripheral and interior defenses.

Approved For Release 2004/05/131-CIA-RDP90T00782R000100030001-0

25X1

TCS-7519-60

25X1

25X1

25X1

We have obtained technical information on airborne intercept radars and have observed the use of these radars in combat situations.

Technical information also will assist the designers of U. S. electronics countermeasures equipment,

C. Fighter Aircraft Defenses

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

The U-2 program has obtained photography on over a hundred fighter airfields. This has permitted us to determine the concept employed by the Soviets in their use of fighters as an air defense weapon. Moreover, the only information available to us on the latest Soviet Mach 2 fighters was obtained from one of the U-2 flights.

More important, however, the program has permitted us to observe these fighters in an active air defense capacity. We have photographs of various fighter types attempting to intercept the U-2 and have electronic intercepts of their air defense radars. We can also relate this information to our electronic intercepts of the early warning radars and get some idea of reaction time and efficiency of the Soviet fighter defense system.

defense system.

D. Surface-to-Air Missile Defenses

Seviet Union had established a massive system of surface-to-air missiles, in 56 sites located on two concentric circles around Moscow. We had

-12-

TC8-7519-60

25X1

some indication that they intended to employ a similar system around other major cities such as Leningrad but the evidence on this point was inconclusive.

The U-2 program not only permitted us to obtain fuller information on the Moscov SAM system, but is also proved conclusively that this system was not being installed around other cities.

25X1 25X1

In 1959, we also overequiron both photograph and electronic intercept that a new and much more flexible SAM system was being deployed around all major centers in the Soviet Union. We have photography on approximately 70 of the new sites and estimate that there are a total of 250 to 300 such sites in the USSR. We believe that this second generation missile is the missile known to the intelligence community as the "Guideline" missile with an estimated altitude capability of 60,000 feet extending up to 80,000 feet with a considerably reduced accuracy.

The data revealed by the U-2 program not only confirmed previous estimates that the Soviets were placing a very high priority on their air defense program but also provided positive evidence of the progress achieved.

25X1

The information on the Soviet air defense system, particularly that concerning surface-to-air missiles, has had a direct bearing on the U. S. strike forces' planning. The discovery of the second generation SAM system caused ghem to greatly increase their emphasis on low level penetration tactics.

25X1

Preliminary analysis of the photography collected on one of our most recent flights indicates that the Soviets may be engaged in research concerning anti-ballistic missile radars and tracking. It is too early, however, to determine whether or not these developments include an actual anti-ballistic missile missile development program.

IV. Evidence of Basic Soviet Intentions

To the extent that Soviet military capabilities and trends in their development constitute evidence of Soviet intentions, the U-2 program has provided us with a great deal of important information.

As a result of the firm information that we have collected, it is our present judgment that the USER is not engaged in a crash effort to develop an overwhelming nuclear delivery capability. As I mentioned earlier, the Soviet bomber program has been cut back to a minimum effort, and the ICHM development program appears to be an orderly, high-priority but not "crash" program.

Approved For Release 2004/05/13: (14.856) 0T00782R000100030001-0

We have seen that throughout the period the Soviet Union continued to give great priority to the development of a defensive capability in the form of surface-to-air missiles, fighters, and air defense radars.

By giving us better evidence concerning the Soviet development of specific weapons systems, the U-2 program has enabled the U.S. to tailor its own defenses more precisely to the actual Soviet threat.

The program has also given us increased confidence in our judgments concerning the issue of peace or war in crisis situations. Whenever the international situation becomes tense because of a problem in some particular area, we are concerned whether the situation might get beyond control—that someone on the other side might suddenly and irrationally unleash big war.

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/05/13 : CIA-RDP90T00782R000100030001-0

TCS-7519-60

25X1

* * * * * *

It is extremely difficult for me to sum up in words the significance of this effort to our national security. I do not wish to exaggerate, nor do I wish to belittle other vital intelligence programs. This photographic coverage and the data derived from it are an inseparable part of the whole national intelligence effort. But in terms of reliability, of precision, of access to otherwise inaccessible installations, its contribution has been unique. And in the epinion of the military, of the scientists and of the senior officials responsible for our national security it has been, to put it simply, invaluable.



26 May 1960

Role of the United States Air Force in the U-2 Project

Since the inception of the Project, CIA has called on the United States Air Force for support in the form of technical advice and assistance in those fields where the United States Air Force has the most expert knowledge. These fields included advice on aircraft design and procurement, operational training of air crews, weather, aero medicine, and communications.

The Project has been directed by a senior civilian in CIA responsible to the Director of Central Intelligence. In this Project, as in other activities, personnel from the Military Services, particularly the Air Force, are detailed to CIA for a tour of duty. These personnel take their orders from CIA, not from their parent Military Service.

They are members of the CIA staff who offer advice and assistance and perform assigned duties in Headquarters or the field, in the technical functions for which they are qualified.

TAB



Approved For Release 2004 65 P3 SELARED P90T00782R000100030001-9

TCS 7518-60-a Copy 3 of 7

Participation of the United States Intelligence Community in the U-2 Program

At the inception of the U-2 program, a mechanism was established to provide the coordinated requirements of the United States Intelligence delectronic.

Community for photographic collection.

initially an assessment was made of all the intelligence targets from which information could be obtained through reconnaissance, taking into account the specialized capabilities of our collection device. From four (4) this total list a very limited selection was made bearing upon three (3) highly critical national intelligence problems, namely, guided missiles, nuclear energy, and the long-range bomber. This highly selective list, reviewed continually in the light of new information from all sources, became the basis for flight planning. Such planning also included secondary requirements which could be covered incident to achieving the major objectives.

Careful and continuous coordination was also achieved to assure the prompt equal access to the materials by all properly cleared members of the Intelligence Community. Immediately when the plane landed, the film was rapidly transmitted to the processing center, whereupon the Approved For Release 2004/05/13—CIA-RDP90T00782RGANDB3340 TAFNI COPPORT OF SECRET CONTON OVER 1981 V

TOP SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/05/13: CIA-RDP90T00782R000100030001-0

TCS 7518-60-a

United States Intelligence Agencies engaged in joint photographic-interpretation. Reports were promptly disseminated to all participating agencies in the United States and as required to overseas commands by priority communications. Such reports were available within six (6) to ten (10) days after the plane had landed.

Comparable arrangements were made for the assessment and reporting on electronic data collected simultaneously with the taking of the photographs.

The program, by virtue of its advanced photographic capabilities, produced film which could provide information beyond the then current state-of-the-art of photo-interpretation. As a result it was necessary to develop new methods and equipment for the interpretation of the overflight photography. These new developments were shared throughout the Intelligence Community and have helped to establish a new level of capabilities for moving ahead in photographic-interpretation in the future.

A similar advance has been made in the collection and processing of electronic information.



Next 23 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/05/14 : @54-RDP90T00782R00010003000100

27 May 1960

A WE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR:

- 1. This memorandum is for information only.
- 2. With reference to your inquiry as to whether Pilot Powers had any heavy clothing in the plane, he did have a considerable quantity of clothing that had been especially made for him and was suitable for climates of hO degrees below zero. He had an outfit in the plane made of Grenfell cloth, which is a light arctic cloth which the British use. He also had Air Force arctic boots, a stove, 10-days' rations, flashlights, and other equipment.

STANLEY J. GROGAN Assistant to the Director

Vec: DDCI

7500-1-1-1-158

136141

27 May 1960

A WE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR:

- 1. This memorandum is for information only.
- 2. With reference to your inquiry as to whether Pilot Powers had any heavy clothing in the plane, he did have a considerable quantity of clothing that had been especially made for him and was suitable for climates of 40 degrees below zero. He had an outfit in the plane made of Grenfell cloth, which is a light arctic cloth which the British use. He also had Air Force arctic boots, a stove, 10-days' rations, flashlights, and other equipment.

STANLEY J. GROUAN Assistant to the Director

- Veci DDGI

SECRET

25X1

13 May 1960

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH

: Deputy Director (Plans)

SUBJECT

Mr. Francis Cary Powers

REFERENCE

25X1

Attached is further information on Mr. Francis G. Powers.

- a. Attachment #1 Conserns the mission briefing,
 Mr. Powers' reaction to same, his
 knowledge of certain activities,
 and Col. Shelton's comments concerning Mr. Powers' personal life.
- b. Attachment #2 Flight Training and Evaluation of Mr. Powers' Job Performance.
- c. Attachment #3 List of Missions Flown by Mr. Powers
- d. Attachment #4 Survival Course in which Mr. Powers participated in at Turkey during September 1959.

/#/

WILLIAM BURKE Colonel, USAF Acting Chief, DPD-DD/P

Attachments: As stated

SECRE